arXiv:1409.6675v2 [math.OA] 24 Oct 2014

ON THE CLASSIFICATION AND MODULAR EXTENDABILITY OF E_0-SEMIGROUPS ON FACTORS

PANCHUGOPAL BIKRAM AND DANIEL MARKIEWICZ

Abstract. In this paper we study modular extendability and equimodularity of endomorphisms and E_0-semigroups on factors with respect to f.n.s. weights. We show that modular extendability is a property that does not depend on the choice of weights, it is a cocycle conjugacy invariant and it is preserved under tensoring. We say that a modularly extendable E_0-semigroup is of type EI, EII or EIII if its modular extension is of type I, II or III, respectively. We prove that all types exist on properly infinite factors.

We also compute the coupling index and the relative commutant index for the CAR flows and q-CCR flows. As an application, by considering repeated tensors of the CAR flows we show that there are infinitely many non cocycle conjugate non-extendable E_0-semigroups on the hyperfinite factors of types II_1, II_∞ and III_λ, for $\lambda \in (0,1)$.

1. Introduction

A weak* continuous one-parameter semigroup of unital $*$-endomorphisms on a von Neumann algebra is called an E_0-semigroup, and there has been considerable interest in their classification up to the equivalence relation called cocycle conjugacy. Most of the progress has focused on the case of E_0-semigroups on type I_∞ factors: those are divided into types I, II and III, and every such E_0-semigroup gives rise to a product system of Hilbert spaces. In fact, the classification theory of E_0-semigroups of type I_∞ factors is equivalent to the classification problem of product systems of Hilbert spaces up to isomorphism. For an overview of the theory of E_0-semigroups on type I_∞ factors, we recommend the monograph by Arveson [Arv03].

E_0-semigroups on II_1 factors were first studied by Powers [Pow88], who introduced an index for their study. Alevras [Ale04] computed the Powers index of the Clifford flows on type II_1 factors, however the index is not known to be a cocycle conjugacy invariant. On the other hand, Alevras [Ale95, Ale04] also showed that a product system of W*-correspondences can be associated to every E_0-semigroup on a type II_1 factor, and the isomorphism class of the product system is a cocycle conjugacy invariant. In fact, the association of product systems of W*-correspondences to E_0-semigroups on general von Neumann algebras has been established by Bhat and Skeide [BS00] and subsequent work of Skeide (see [Ske03]). However product systems are difficult to compute in practice. Amosov, Bulinskii and Shirokov [ABS01] were the first to examine the issue of extendability of E_0-semigroups on general factors. Bikram, Izumi, Srinivasan and Sunder [BISS14] introduced the concept of equimodularity for endomorphisms, and applied it to obtain convenient criteria for the existence of extensions. As an application, it was proved in [BISS14] that the CAR flows are not extendable on the hyperfinite factor of type II_1. Similarly, Bikram [Bik13] showed that the CAR flows are not extendable on hyperfinite

Date: October 24, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55, 46L57; Secondary 46L10.

Key words and phrases. modularly extendable endomorphisms, E_0-semigroups, CCR flows, q-CCR flows, CAR flows, coupling index, relative commutant index.

The first author was supported in part by a postdoctoral fellowship funded in part by the Skirball Foundation via the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev. The second author was supported by the ISF within the ISF-UGC joint research program framework (grant No. 1775/14).

III_λ factors, for $\lambda \in (0,1)$, for a certain class of quasi-free states. In [MS13], Srinivasan and Margetts introduced new invariants for E_0-semigroups on type II_1 factors, especially the coupling index, and as an application showed that the Clifford flows are non-cocycle conjugate. Subsequently Margetts and Srinivasan [MS14] considered more general factors, and they showed that by varying the quasi-free states appropriately, the CCR flows in hyperfinite type III_λ factors are non-cocycle conjugate, for for $\lambda \in (0,1]$. They also proved that there are uncountably many non-cocycle conjugate E_0-semigroups on all hyperfinite II_∞ and III_λ factors, for $\lambda \in (0,1]$.

In this paper we focus on the role of extendability in the classification of E_0-semigroups on factors, especially of types II and III. In Section 2, we generalize the concept in [BISS14] of extendability of a unital endomorphism to the context of faithful normal semifinite *weights*. Furthermore, we show that this property, now renamed *modular extendability* of a unital endomorphism (or E_0-semigroup), does not depend on the choice of f.n.s. weights. In addition, we show that the modular extension is a cocycle conjugacy invariant of a modularly extendable E_0-semigroup. This allows us to introduce in Section 4 a classification scheme based on the well-known situation for the type I_∞ factor. Namely, we say that a modularly extendable E_0-semigroup has type EI, EII or EIII if its modular extension has type I, II or III, respectively. We prove that this is consistent with the classification of type I_∞ factors. Furthermore, we show that the tensor product of two E_0-semigroups α and β on factors are modularly extendable if and only if $\alpha \otimes \beta$ is modularly extendable. As an application, we show that if M is a properly infinite factor, then there exist modularly extendable E_0-semigroups of every type on M.

In Section 3, we generalize the concept of equimodularity for f.n.s. weights. We prove that the necessary condition for equimodularity described in [BISS14] for the case of states in fact is necessary and sufficient (even in the context of f.n.s. weights). We also prove that the sufficient condition for modular extendability in the presence of equimodularity found in [BISS14] also holds for f.n.s. weights. Despite the usefulness of these results, we show that for every properly infinite factor there exist modularly extendable unital endomorphisms and E_0-semigroups which are not equimodular with respect to any f.n.s. weight.

In Section 4, we discuss the classification of E_0-semigroups on factors into types EI, EII and EIII and not extendable. We also consider two invariants for the classification of E_0-semigroups: the relative commutant index and coupling index. The relative commutant index was considered for type II_1 factors by Powers [Pow88] and Alevras [Ale04]. The coupling index was introduced by Margetts and Srinivasan [MS13, MS14].

Finally, in Section 5, we apply our results to some concrete examples. Firstly, we show that CAR flows are equimodular but not modularly extendable for a class of quasi-free states even larger than that in [BISS14, Bik13]; we also compute their coupling and relative commutant indices. As an application, in analogy with Alevras's result for Clifford flows in [Ale04], we show that under mild conditions, if α is a CAR flow then $\alpha^{\otimes^k}$ and $\alpha^{\otimes^\ell}$ are cocycle conjugate if and only if $k = \ell$. As another example, we consider the class of q-CCR flows on the q-Gaussian factors and prove that they are equimodular but not modularly extendable, and compute their indices.

*In this paper, inner products are linear in the first coordinate unless stated otherwise. We assume all Hilbert spaces to be separable and all von Neumann algebras have separable predual. We also assume that our endomorphisms are *-preserving.*

2. Extendability of endomorphisms

In this section we study extendibility of endomorphisms on factors. This program started with the work of Amosov, Bulinskii and Shirovok [ABS01], and then Bikram,

Izumi, Srinivasan and Sunder [BISS14]. Since we extend some of their results in a slightly different context, we review the situation and introduce appropriate terminology.

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and let ϕ be a faithful normal semi-finite weight on M (in the continuation we will often use the abbreviation *f.n.s. weight*). Such a pair (M, ϕ) will be called a *non-commutative measure space*. Recall that ϕ has an associated GNS representation. Let $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ be the quotient and completion of $\mathfrak{N}_\phi = \{x \in M;\ \phi(x^*x) < +\infty\}$, and let $\mathfrak{N}_\phi \ni x \mapsto x_\phi \in \mathcal{H}_\phi$ denote the canonical map. The GNS $*$-representation $\pi_\phi : M \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ is uniquely determined by the identity

$$\langle \pi_\phi(a)x_\phi, y_\phi\rangle = \phi(y^*ax), \qquad a \in M,\ x, y \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi.$$

We denote by J_ϕ, Δ_ϕ and $\{\sigma_t^\phi\}$ the modular conjugation operator, modular operator and modular automorphism group, respectively, for M associated to ϕ. When the weight is determined by the context, we will often suppress the subindex, and write J and Δ instead of J_ϕ and Δ_ϕ. We will also often identify M with $\pi_\phi(M)$, and identify $\pi_\phi(a)$ with a, for $a \in M$.

Definition 2.1. Let (M, ϕ) be factorial noncommutative measure space. Let $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ be the GNS space corresponding to ϕ, and let us identify M with its image under the GNS representation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$. Suppose that $\theta : M \to M$ is a normal unital endormorphism, and let $\theta'_\phi : M' \to M'$ be the endomorphism given by

$$\theta'_\phi(y) = J_\phi\theta(J_\phi y J_\phi)J_\phi, \qquad y \in M'$$

We will say that θ is *ϕ-modularly extendable* if and only if there exists a normal endomorphism $\widetilde{\theta}_\phi$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ satisfying

$$\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(xy') = \theta(x)\theta'_\phi(y), \qquad \forall x \in M, \forall y \in M'. \tag{2.1}$$

where J_ϕ is the modular conjugation operator. We note that by normality, such an extension is unique if it exists, and it will be called the *ϕ-modular extension* of θ.

We will now show that this notion of extendability of the endomorphism θ on M does not depend on the choice of weight.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a factor and let ϕ and ψ be two f.n.s. weights on M.*

(1) There exists a unitary $u : \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\psi$ such that

$$J_\psi = uJ_\phi u^*, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \pi_\psi(x) = u\pi_\phi(x)u^*, \quad \forall x \in M \tag{2.2}$$

(2) Suppose that θ is a normal unital endormorphism of M. Then θ is ϕ-extendable if and only if θ is ψ-extendable. In addition, if these conditions hold, for every $u : \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\psi$ satisfying (2.2) we have $\mathrm{Ad}(u) \circ \widetilde{\theta}_\phi = \widetilde{\theta}_\psi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(u)$. In particular, the modular extendability of an endomorphism does not depend on the choice of f.n.s. weight.

Proof. (1) The general theory of Hilbert/Tomita algebras, and their (hyper)-standard forms associated to f.n.s. weights (see [Tak03, Theorem 1.14]), yields a unitary $u : \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\psi$ satisfying (2.2).

(2) Suppose that θ is ϕ-extendable. Let u be a unitary given by item (1). Let us consider the endomorphism α of $B(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$ defined by

$$\alpha(T) = u\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(u^*Tu)u^*.$$

Notice that for all $x, y \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\pi_\psi(x)J_\psi\pi_\psi(y)J_\psi) &= u\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(u^*\pi_\psi(x)J_\psi\pi_\psi(y)J_\psi u)u^* \\ &= u\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(u^*\pi_\psi(x)uu^*J_\psi uu^*\pi_\psi(y)uu^*J_\psi u^*)u^* \\ &= u\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(\pi_\phi(x)J_\phi\pi_\phi(y)J_\phi)u^* \\ &= u\pi_\phi(\theta(x))J_\phi\pi_\phi(\theta(y))J_\phi u^* \\ &= \pi_\psi(\theta(x))J_\psi\pi_\psi(\theta(y))J_\psi.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore α is a normal unital endomorphism conjugate to $\widetilde{\theta}_\phi$ extending θ, proving that θ is ψ-extendable and so $\mathrm{Ad}(u) \circ \widetilde{\theta}_\phi = \widetilde{\theta}_\psi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(u)$, proving the statement. □

Remark 2.3. As a consequence of Theorem 2.2, since the ϕ-modular extendability of an endomorphism on a factor M doesn't depend on the choice of particular f.n.s weight ϕ of M, we will speak simply of modular extendability without reference to a weight. In [ABS01], this is called regular extendability, and in [MS14] this is called canonical extendability.

Remark 2.4. Note that if γ is an automorphism of a factor M, then it is modularly extendable. In fact, recall that from the theory of von Neumann algebras in standard form (see [Tak03, Theorem 1.14]), if ϕ is a f.n.s. weight, then there exists a unitary $u : \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\phi$ satisfying

$$J_\phi u = uJ_\phi \qquad \text{and} \qquad \pi_\phi(\gamma(x)) = u\pi_\phi(x)u^*, \qquad \forall x \in M. \tag{2.3}$$

We will say that such a unitary modularly implements the automorphism γ with respect to ϕ.

Furthermore, it follows immediately from the previous remark that modular extendibility is a conjugacy invariant:

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose θ is an unital normal endomorphism of a factorial noncommutative measure space (M, ϕ). Suppose that γ is an automorphism of M, and let $\theta^\gamma = \gamma \circ \theta \circ \gamma^{-1}$. If θ is modularly extendable, then θ^γ is modularly extendable, and moreover $\widetilde{\theta^\gamma}_\phi = \mathrm{Ad}(u)\widetilde{\theta}_\phi\mathrm{Ad}(u^*)$ for any unitary u which modularly implements γ with respect to ϕ.*

3. Equimodular endomorphisms

We now consider the concept of equimodularity of an endomorphism on a von Neumann algebra with respect to an f.n.s. weight. This is a generalization of the framework of [BISS14], which focused on faithful normal states. We exhibit a convenient necessary and sufficient condition for the equimodularity of an endomorphism with respect a fixed weight on von Neumann algebra.

Given a noncommutative measure space (M, ϕ), let θ be a unital normal endomorphism of M which is ϕ-preserving, i.e.

$$\phi(\theta(x)) = \phi(x), \qquad x \in M^+.$$

This invariance assumption implies that there exists a unique well-defined isometry $u_\theta \in B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ given by $u_\theta(x_\phi) = (\theta(x))_\phi$, for $x \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi$. It is clear that $u_\theta x = \theta(x)u_\theta$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi$. Futhermore, since ϕ is semi-finite, $\mathfrak{N}_\phi$ is dense in M in the weak operator topology, so we have

$$u_\theta x = \theta(x)u_\theta, \qquad \forall x \in M.$$

Definition 3.1. Given a noncommutative measure space (M, ϕ), a unital endomorphism $\theta : M \to M$ will be called *equimodular* if ϕ is θ-invariant and $u_\theta J_\phi = J_\phi u_\theta$.

Remark 3.2. The definition of equimodularity depends on the weight ϕ. So strictly speaking, we should call it ϕ-equimodular, but we shall not do so in the interest of notational convenience. Furthemore, we note that this definition applies also to weights, in a slight generalization of [BISS14].

Example 3.3. Any unital normal endomorphism on a II_1 factor is equimodular with respect to the trace (see [BISS14]).

Given a unital normal endomorphism θ on a factor, we now describe a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an f.n.s. weight ϕ with respect to which θ is equimodular. As a consequence, we exhibit endomorphisms which are not equimodular with respect to any f.n.s. weight.

The necessary part of the following theorem was proven for equimodularity with respect to *states* in [BISS14, Remark 3.2]. Here we extend the original proof of necessity to general weights, and moreover prove also sufficiency of the criterion.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (M,ϕ) be a non-commutative measure space. Suppose θ is a unital normal endomorphism on M which is ϕ-preserving, i.e. $\phi(\theta(x)) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in M^+$. Then θ is equimodular if and only if there exists a faithful normal conditional expectation $E : M \to \theta(M)$ which is ϕ-preserving, i.e.*

$$\phi(E(x)) = \phi(x), \qquad \forall x \in M^+.$$

Furthermore, such a conditional expectation is unique if it exists since $E(x)e_\theta = e_\theta x e_\theta$ for all $x \in M$, where e_θ is the projection onto the closure of $(\theta(M) \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi)_\phi$, and moreover $e_\theta = u_\theta u_\theta^*$.

Proof. Firstly, let θ be a unital normal endomorphism of M which is ϕ-preserving. Let $\mathfrak{A}_\phi = \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^* \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\phi$ be the left Hilbert algebra associated to the f.n.s. weight ϕ of M (see [Str81, 2.11, p.24]) and let S_ϕ be the corresponding anti-linear Tomita operator on $\mathcal{H}_\phi$. For $x_\phi \in \mathfrak{A}_\phi \subseteq D(S_\phi)$, observe that $u_\theta x_\phi = (\theta(x))_\phi \in D(S_\phi)$ and

$$S_\phi u_\theta x_\phi = S_\phi(\theta(x))_\phi = (\theta(x^*))_\phi = u_\theta(x^*)_\phi = u_\theta S_\phi x_\phi.$$

So we conclude that

$$S_\phi u_\theta \xi = u_\theta S_\phi \xi, \qquad \forall \xi \in D(S_\phi). \tag{3.1}$$

($\Rightarrow$) Suppose θ is equimodular. Since u_θ commutes with J_ϕ and $S_\phi = J_\phi \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have $\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\theta = u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on $D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$, and so Δ_ϕ^{it} commutes with u_θ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by [Str81, Chapter 1, Section 2.12] we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta \circ \sigma_t^\phi(x))_\phi &= u_\theta(\Delta_\phi^{it} x \Delta_\phi^{-it})_\phi = u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} x_\phi = \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta x_\phi = \Delta_\phi^{it}(\theta(x))_\phi \\ &= (\sigma_t^\phi \circ \theta(x))_\phi.\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ is faithful, we conclude that

$$\theta \circ \sigma_t^\phi(x) = \sigma_t^\phi \circ \theta(x), \qquad \forall x \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi.$$

Now semi-finiteness of ϕ implies that

$$\theta \circ \sigma_t^\phi(x) = \sigma_t^\phi \circ \theta(x), \qquad \forall x \in M.$$

Furthermore, the fact that θ is ϕ-preserving implies that $\phi|_{\theta(M)}$ is also semi-finite for $\theta(M)$. It follows from Takesaki's theorem (see [Tak72, Section 3, p. 309]) that there exists a unique ϕ-preserving conditional expectation E of M onto $\theta(M)$. Moreover, it follows from [Tak72, p. 315] or [Str81, p.131] that E is unique and satisfies $E(x)e_\theta = e_\theta x e_\theta$ for all $x \in M$, where $e_\theta = u_\theta u_\theta^*$ is the projection onto the closure of $(\theta(M) \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi)_\phi$.

($\Leftarrow$) Conversely, let us assume that there exists a normal conditional expectation E from M onto $\theta(M)$ which is ϕ-preserving. We need to prove that θ is equimodular, i.e.

$u_\theta J_\phi = J_\phi u_\theta$. By eq. (3.1), $u_\theta S_\phi = S_\phi u_\theta$ on $D(S_\phi) = D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Hence it is enough to show that $u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\theta$ on $D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$. The following lemma will complete the proof. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let (M, ϕ) be a noncommutative measure space. Suppose that θ is a unital ϕ-preserving normal endomorphism on M such that there exists a faithful normal conditional expectation E from M onto $\theta(M)$ which is ϕ-preserving. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

(1) $\theta \circ \sigma_t^\phi = \sigma_t^\phi \circ \theta$

(2) $u_\theta D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\theta$ on $D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$.

Proof. Let $\psi = \phi|_{\theta(M)}$, which is an f.n.s. weight on $\theta(M)$ and let $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ be its GNS Hilbert space. Under the the conditions of the lemma, by Takesaki's Theorem [Tak72, Section 3, p. 309] we have that $\sigma_t^\phi(\theta(M)) = \theta(M)$. Furthermore, note that

$$\sigma_t^\phi|_{\theta(M)} = \sigma_t^\psi, \ t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $u_\theta \in B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ be given by $u_\theta(x_\phi) = (\theta(x))_\phi = (\theta(x))_\psi$, since ψ is the restriction of ϕ to $\theta(M)$. With this identification, u_θ becomes a *unitary* map from $u_\theta : \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\psi$ such that $\theta(x) = u_\theta x u_\theta^*$, for all $x \in M$.

Now let us consider $\pi_t : \theta(M) \to \theta(M)$ given by

$$\pi_t(y) = u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta^* y u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{-it} u_\theta^*, \qquad y \in \theta(M).$$

We note that $\{\pi_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ defines a group of $*$-automorphisms of $\theta(M)$ which is ψ-preserving. Indeed, for every $x \in \theta(M)^+$, since θ is ϕ-preserving we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\pi_t(\theta(x))) &= \phi(u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta^* \theta(x) u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{-it} u_\theta^*) = \phi(u_\theta \sigma_t^\phi(x) u_\theta^*) \\ &= \phi(\theta(\sigma_t^\phi(x))) = \phi(\sigma_t^\phi(x)) \\ &= \phi(x) = \phi(\theta(x)) = \psi(\theta(x))\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ satisfies the KMS condition with respect to $\{\sigma_t^\phi\}$, given $x, y \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$, there exists a bounded continuous function $f_{x,y} : \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\} \to \mathbb{C}$ which is analytic in the interior of the strip such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_{x,y}(it) = \phi(x\sigma_t^\phi(y)), \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_{x,y}(1+it) = \phi(\sigma_t^\phi(y)x).$$

We now claim that ψ satisfies the KMS condition with respect to $\{\pi_t\}$. Indeed, given any pair $a, b \in \mathfrak{N}_\psi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\psi^* (\subseteq \theta(M))$, there exist unique $x, y \in M$ such that $a = \theta(x), b = \theta(y)$. Since θ is ϕ-preserving, we have that $x, y \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$. Hence the function $\widetilde{f}_{a,b} : \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $\widetilde{f}_{a,b} = f_{x,y}$ is well-defined. Moreover, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(a\pi_t(b)) &= \psi(\theta(x)\pi_t(\theta(y))) = \psi(\theta(x) u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta^* \theta(y) u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{-it} u_\theta^*) \\ &= \phi(\theta(x) u_\theta \sigma_t^\phi(y) u_\theta^*) = \phi(u_\theta x u_\theta^* u_\theta \sigma_t^\phi(y) u_\theta^*) = \phi(u_\theta x \sigma_t^\phi(y) u_\theta^*) \\ &= \phi(\theta(x\sigma_t^\phi(y))) = \phi(x\sigma_t^\phi(y)) = f_{x,y}(it) \\ &= \widetilde{f}_{a,b}(it)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\pi_t(b)a) &= \psi(\pi_t(\theta(y))\theta(x)) = \psi(u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta^* \theta(y) u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta^* \theta(x)) \\ &= \phi(u_\theta \sigma_t^\phi(y) u_\theta^* \theta(x)) = \phi(u_\theta \sigma_t^\phi(y) u_\theta^* u_\theta x u_\theta^*) = \phi(u_\theta \sigma_t^\phi(y) x u_\theta^*) \\ &= \phi(\theta(\sigma_t^\phi(y)x)) = \phi(\sigma_t^\phi(y)x) = f_{x,y}(1+it) \\ &= \widetilde{f}_{a,b}(1+it).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the uniqueness of the modular automorphism group, we have that

$$\sigma_t^{\psi} = \pi_t, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.3}$$

We are now in position to prove property (1). For all $x \in M$, by (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(\sigma_t^{\phi}(x)) &= u_\theta \sigma_t^{\phi}(x) u_\theta^* = u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} x \Delta_\phi^{-it} u_\theta^* \\ &= u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta^* u_\theta x u_\theta^* u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{-it} u_\theta^* \\ &= \pi_t(\theta(x)) = \sigma_t^{\psi}(\theta(x)) \\ &= \sigma_t^{\phi}(\theta(x)).\end{aligned}$$

We now prove property (2). Recall that for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $\Delta^{it} x_\phi = (\sigma_t^{\phi}(x))_\phi$ (see for example [Str81, p.27]). Therefore, by property (1), for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} x_\phi = u_\theta(\sigma_t^{\phi}(x))_\phi = (\theta(\sigma_t^{\phi}(x)))_\phi = (\sigma_t^{\phi}(\theta(x)))_\phi \\ = \Delta_\phi^{it}(\theta(x))_\phi = \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta x_\phi.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} = \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta. \tag{3.4}$$

Notice that if $x \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$ then also $\theta(x) \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$ and therefore $x_\phi \in D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $u_\theta x_\phi = (\theta(x))_\phi \in D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Hence, it follows from [SZ79, Corollary 9.21] that both maps $it \to \Delta_\phi^{it} u_\theta x_\phi$ and $it \to u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{it} x_\phi$ have continuous extensions to the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \mathrm{Re}(z) \leq 1/2\}$ which are analytic in the interior. Furthermore, by (3.4), the two functions coincide on the imaginary axis, therefore they coincide on the entire strip. (This follows from a standard argument using the Schwarz reflection principle and gluing the reflection to the original function). Hence, by taking $z = \frac{1}{2}$, we conclude that $u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_\phi = \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\theta x_\phi$. Therefore $u_\theta D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $u_\theta \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\theta$ on $D(\Delta_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}})$. □

Remark 3.6. The necessary and sufficient condition provided by Theorem 3.4 is quite restrictive. In fact, it is easy to find endomorphisms whose range is not the image of a normal conditional expectation, without referring to the preservation of any weight. For example, let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space and let $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a type III factor. Note that the exists a *-isomorphism $\gamma : M \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to M$, since M is a type III factor. Let $\iota : M \to 1 \otimes M \subseteq M \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the canonical injection, i.e. $\iota(x) = 1 \otimes x$, for $x \in M$. Then $\theta = \iota \circ \gamma : M \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to M \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an endomorphism of $M \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(M \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) = 1 \otimes M$. Note, however, that a normal (surjective) conditional expectation $E : M \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to 1 \otimes M$ would restrict to a normal conditional expectation $E|_{1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} : 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to 1 \otimes M$. And such a conditional expectation can only exist if M is type I (see [Tom59]).

Example 3.7. We use the principle of the previous remark to provide an example of endomorphism which is not equimodular with respect to any f.n.s. weights. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space, let $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a type III factor with cyclic separating vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$, and let $N \subseteq M$ be a subfactor such that there is no normal conditional expectation of M onto N (for an example, see Remark 3.6). Let us consider the infinite tensor product $K = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty}(H, \Omega)$ with respect to the reference vector $\Omega^\infty = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega$. Let $A = N \otimes M \otimes M \cdots \subseteq B(K)$, in other words A is the factor generated by elements of the form $n \otimes m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_k \otimes I \otimes I \cdots$ where $n \in N$ and $m_j \in M$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ (see [Gui66]). Note that we can write $A = N \otimes M \otimes B$ where $B = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} M$ in the natural way.

Let $\theta : A \to A$ be the shift endomorphism, so that $\theta(A) = 1 \otimes N \otimes B$. It is clear that θ preserves the vector state corresponding to Ω^∞ (in particular, it preserves *some* f.n.s. weight). Suppose towards a contradiction that θ is equimodular with respect to some f.n.s. weight ϕ. Then by Theorem 3.4 there exists a normal conditional expectation E from A onto $\theta(A)$ which is ϕ-preserving. In that case, we claim that $E(1_N \otimes M \otimes 1_B) = 1_N \otimes N \otimes 1_B$, which is a contradiction, since there is no normal conditional expectation from M onto N. Indeed, notice that $1 \otimes M \otimes 1 = A \cap (N \otimes 1 \otimes B)'$. So if $x \in 1 \otimes M \otimes 1$ and $y \in 1 \otimes 1 \otimes B \subseteq \theta(A)$, then

$$E(x)y = E(xy) = E(yx) = yE(x)$$

Thus $E(x) \in (1 \otimes 1 \otimes B)' \cap \theta(A) = 1 \otimes N \otimes 1$.

We now provide the promised convenient condition for the modular extendibility of equimodular endomorphisms.

Theorem 3.8. *Let (M, ϕ) be a noncommutative factorial measure space and let θ be a unital normal endomorphism of M which is equimodular. If*

$$(\theta(M) \cup (M \cap \theta(M)'))'' = M$$

then θ is modularly extendable.

Proof. Let $N = \theta(M)$, which is a factor since M is a factor and θ is normal. Since θ is equimodular, by Theorem 3.4 there exists a unique ϕ-preserving normal conditional expectation $E : M \to N$. Suppose that $(N \cup (M \cap N'))'' = M$. We will show that θ has a (unique) modular extension. For $x \in M \cap N'$ and $a \in N$ we observe that

$$E(x)a = E(xa) = E(ax) = aE(x).$$

So for $x \in M \cap N'$, we have $E(x) \in N \cap N'$. Thus, since N is a factor, $E(x)$ is a scalar multiple of the identity. Now if $x, y \in M \cap N'$, then there exists a unique scalar $\langle x, y \rangle_E \in \mathbb{C}$ such that we define $E(y^*x) = \langle x, y \rangle_E \cdot 1$ (here 1 is the identity element of M as well as N and $M \cap N'$) and we check that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$ defines a inner product on $M \cap N'$. Notice that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$ is clearly an inner product on $M \cap N'$ since E is a faithful conditional expectation.

Let $\mathcal{K}_E$ be the Hilbert space obtained by completion of $M \cap N'$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$. Now we notice that there exists a unitary $W : \mathcal{K}_E \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\phi$ satisfying

$$W(x \otimes a_\phi) = (x\theta(a))_\phi, \qquad x \in M \cap N', a \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$$

Indeed, if $x, y \in M \cap N'$ and $a, b \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (x\theta(a))_\phi, (y\theta(b))_\phi \rangle &= \phi(\theta(b)^* y^* x \theta(a)) = \phi(E(\theta(b)^* y^* x \theta(a))) \\
&= \phi(\theta(b)^* E(y^* x)\theta(a)) = \langle x, y \rangle_E \cdot \phi(\theta(b)^* \theta(a)) \\
&= \langle x, y \rangle_E \cdot \phi(\theta(b^* a)) = \langle x, y \rangle_E \cdot \phi(b^* a) \\
&= \langle x, y \rangle_E \cdot \langle a_\phi, b_\phi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

therefore W is a well-defined isometry. Moreover, W is surjective since $(N' \cap M) \cup N)'' = M$, and hence the elements of the form $x\theta(a)$ for $x \in M \cap N'$ and $a \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$ are weakly dense in M. We notice that W also satisfies the property that

$$W(x \otimes \xi) = xu_\theta \xi, \qquad x \in M \cap N', \xi \in \mathcal{H}_\phi$$

We now consider the unital endomorphism α of $B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ given by

$$\alpha(x) = W(1 \otimes x)W^*, \qquad x \in B(\mathcal{H}_\phi).$$

We claim that α is the modular extension of θ. Indeed, given $x \in M$, we have that for all $y \in N' \cap M, a \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(x)Wy \otimes a_\phi &= W(1 \otimes x)(y \otimes a_\phi) = Wy \otimes (xa)_\phi = (y\theta(xa))_\phi \\
&= (\theta(x)y\theta(a))_\phi = \theta(x)W(y \otimes a_\phi)
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\alpha = \theta$ on M. Similarly, by equimodularity

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(J_\phi x J_\phi)Wy \otimes a_\phi &= W(1 \otimes J_\phi x J_\phi)(y \otimes a_\phi) = Wy \otimes J_\phi x J_\phi a_\phi \\ &= yu_\theta J_\phi x J_\phi a_\phi = yJ_\phi u_\theta x J_\phi a_\phi = yJ_\phi\theta(x)u_\theta J_\phi a_\phi \\ &= yJ_\phi\theta(x)J_\phi u_\theta a_\phi = yJ_\phi\theta(x)J_\phi(\theta(a))_\phi \\ &= J_\phi\theta(x)J_\phi(y\theta(a))_\phi = J_\phi\theta(x)J_\phi W(y \otimes a_\phi)\end{aligned}$$

hence $\alpha(J_\phi x J_\phi) = J\theta(x)J$ for $x \in M$. Hence α is the modular extension of θ. □

Remark 3.9. It is unclear to us whether the converse is true in the case of f.n.s. weights. It certainly holds in the case of faithful normal *states*, by [BISS14, Corollary 3.7].

Remark 3.10. Let (M, ϕ) be a noncommutative measure space and let θ be an equimodular unital endomorphism of M. In the particular case when ϕ is a faithful normal state, the modular extension α of θ guaranteed by Theorem 3.8 can be made very explicit. Recall that in the proof we exhibit $W : \mathcal{K}_E \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\phi$ such that $\alpha(x) = W(1 \otimes x)W^*$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ (here we are using the same notation). When ϕ is a faithful normal state, the GNS representation on $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ has a cyclic separating vector Ω (corresponding to the identity). Furthermore, the space $\mathcal{K}_E$ can be identified explicitly with a subspace of $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ via the isometry $\mathcal{K}_E \to \mathcal{H}_\phi$ given by $x \mapsto x\Omega$, for $x \in M \cap \theta(M)'$. Hence using this identification, we have that $W : \mathcal{K}_E \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\phi$ is given by

$$W(x\Omega \otimes y\Omega) = x\theta(y)\Omega, \qquad x \in M \cap \theta(M)', y \in M$$

4. E$_0$-semigroups on factors

In this section we study modular extendability for E_0-semigroups on arbitrary factors. This leads to a classification scheme for E_0-semigroups based on the well-established classification of E$_0$-semigroups on type I$_\infty$ factors due to Powers and Arveson, as well as several cocycle-conjugacy invariants.

We note that the study of modular extendability with respect to faithful states, as opposed to f.n.s. weights, was the main focus of [BISS14].

Recall that an *E_0-semigroup* on a W*-algebra M is a family $\alpha = \{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ of normal unital *-homomorphism of M such that $\alpha_0 = id_M$ and $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{s+t}$ for all $t, s \geq 0$ which is weak*-continuous, i.e. for every $\rho \in M_*$ and $x \in M$, the map $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \rho(\alpha_t(x))$ is continuous.

We will be interested in the classification of E_0-semigroups of a von Neumann algebra M with respect to *cocycle conjugacy*, which we review presently.

Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Given an E$_0$-semigroup α on M, a strongly continuous family of unitary $U = \{U_t : t \geq 0\}$ in M will be called an α-cocycle if $U_{s+t} = U_t\alpha_t(U_s)$, for all $s, t \geq 0$. Notice that for an α-cocycle U we automatically have $U_0 = I$. An E$_0$-semigroup β on M is said to be *conjugate* to α if there exists an automorphism $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aut} M$ such that

$$\gamma \circ \beta_t \circ \gamma^{-1} = \alpha_t, \qquad t \geq 0.$$

We will say that β is *cocycle equivalent* to α if there exists an α-cocycle U such that

$$\beta_t(x) = U_t\alpha_t(x)U_t^*, \qquad t \geq 0, x \in M$$

Finally, we will say that β is *cocycle conjugate* to α if there exists an E$_0$-semigroup β' of M which is conjugate to β such that β' is cocycle equivalent to α.

We now bring the concepts of the last sections concerning extendability to the context of E$_0$-semigroups.

Definition 4.1. Let M be a von Neumann algebra. An E$_0$-semigroup α of M will be called *extendable* if there exists a normal unital nondegenerate representation $\pi : M \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

and E_0-semigroup $\widetilde{\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_t(\pi(x)) = \pi(\alpha_t(x)), \qquad \forall x \in M, t \geq 0.$$

This definition is very natural, however *all* E_0-semigroups on von Neumann algebras with *separable predual* are extendable by a result of Arveson and Kishimoto [AK92]. In fact, their result states that we can find a pair $(\pi, \widetilde{\alpha})$ as above so that $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is a semigroup of automorphisms. We will focus on a more restrictive concept of extendability as introduced in Section 2.

Definition 4.2. An E_0-semigroup α on a factor M is said to *modularly extendable* if α_t is modularly extendable for every $t \geq 0$.

We note that by Theorem 2.2, modular extendibility of endomophisms on M can be checked with respect to *any* f.n.s. weight on M.

Definition 4.3. An E_0-semigroup $\alpha = \{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ on a factorial noncommutative measure space (M, ϕ) is said to be *equimodular* if every $t \geq 0$, α_t is an equimodular endomorphism with respect to ϕ.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8 and [BISS14, Corollary 3.7] to the context of E_0-semigroups.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (M, ϕ) be a noncommutative factorial measure space and let α be an E_0-semigroup on M which is equimodular. If*

$$(\alpha_t(M) \cup (M \cap \alpha_t(M)'))'' = M, \qquad \forall t \geq 0$$

then α is modularly extendable. Furthermore, when ϕ is a state, the converse holds.

4.1. **Type classification of E_0-semigroups.** We are naturally led to the following classification scheme.

Definition 4.5. Let M be a factor, and let α be an E_0-semigroup on M. If α has modular extension $\widetilde{\alpha}$ on some $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ for some f.n.s. weight ϕ (and hence all f.n.s. weights by Theorem 2.2) , we will say that α has type EI, EII or EIII, respectively if $\widetilde{\alpha}$ has type I, II or III, respectively, in the sense of Arveson and Powers. Otherwise, we will simply say that α is not modularly extendable.

Remark 4.6. Notice that the type of the modular extension does not depend on the choice of f.n.s. weight. Indeed, let M a factor and let $\alpha = \{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ be an extendable E_0-semigroup. Suppose that ϕ and ψ are two f.n.s. weights on M with corresponding modular extensions $\widetilde{\alpha}_\phi$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_\psi$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$ respectively. By Theorem 2.2, replacing θ by α_t for all $t \geq 0$, and choosing a particular unitary $u : \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\psi$ satisfying (2.2) we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ad}(u) \circ (\widetilde{\alpha_t})_\phi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(u^*) = (\widetilde{\alpha_t})_\psi, \qquad \forall t \geq 0,$$

i.e $\widetilde{\alpha}_\phi$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_\psi$ are conjugate and hence possess the same type.

Now we observe that the type of an E_0-semigroup on a factor M is a cocycle conjugacy invariant.

Proposition 4.7. *Let M be a factor and let α and β be cocycle conjugate E_0-semigroups on M. Suppose that α is modularly extendable. Then β is modularly extendable, and moreover, the modular extensions of α and β are cocycle conjugate. Therefore, the type of an E_0-semigroup on M is a cocycle conjugacy invariant.*

Proof. Suppose that γ is an automorphism of M and $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is an α-cocycle such that

$$\gamma(\beta_t(\gamma^{-1}(x))) = U_t \alpha_t(x) U_t^*, \qquad \forall x \; in M, \forall t \geq 0.$$

By Remark 2.4, there exists a unitary $W : \mathcal{H}_\phi \to \mathcal{H}_\phi$ which modularly implements γ with respect to ϕ. Let $\widetilde{\gamma} = \mathrm{Ad}(W)$. Let $\widetilde{\alpha}_t$ be the modular extension of α_t with respect to ϕ,

and let $V_t = U_t J U_t J$, for every $t \geq 0$. Then it is straightforward to check that $(V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is an $\widetilde{\alpha}$-cocycle, and moreover the E_0-semigroup of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ defined by

$$x \quad \mapsto \quad \widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}(V_t \widetilde{\alpha}_t(\widetilde{\gamma}(x) V_t^*))$$

is the modular extension of β and it is clearly cocycle conjugate to $\widetilde{\alpha}$. □

Remark 4.8. We note that when M is a type I_∞ factor, i.e. M is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for some separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the type of E_0-semigroups generalizes the classification of Arveson and Powers. More precisely, if α is an E_0-semigroup of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then

(1) α is modularly extendable.
(2) α has type EI, EII or EIII, respectively, if and only if α has type I, II or III, respectively, in the sense of Arveson and Powers.

Indeed, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and let α be an E_0-semigroup on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $(e_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ and let $(\lambda_n)_{\geq 0}$ be a sequence nonzero positive real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 = 1$$

Then we obtain a faithful normal state on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 \langle x e_n, e_n \rangle$$

We will show that α is modularly extendable with respect to ϕ.

Let $Q : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be the conjugate linear self-adjoint unitary given by $Qe_n = e_n$ for all n. Consider the vector

$$\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n \otimes e_n$$

and let $\pi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ be the normal representation given by $\pi(x) = x \otimes 1$. It is easy to check that $(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}, \pi, \Omega)$ is a GNS tripe for ϕ. Furthermore, let Δ and J be the modular operator and modular conjugation operators, which have $\pi(M)\Omega$ as a core. This core contains all vectors of the form $e_m \otimes e_n$ for $m, n \geq 1$ and it is straightforward to check that

$$\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_m \otimes e_n) = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_n} e_m \otimes e_n, \qquad m, n \geq 1$$
$$J(\xi \otimes \eta) = Q\eta \otimes Q\xi, \qquad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$$

Let β be the E_0-semigroup of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by $\beta_t(x) = Q\alpha_t(QxQ)Q$ for $t \geq 0$. It is easy to check that $\alpha \otimes \beta$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ is the modular extension of α. Furthermore, if E^α and E^β are the product systems associated to α and β, respectively, then the map $\Theta : E^\alpha \to E^\beta$ given by $\Theta(T) = QTQ$ for every $T \in E^\alpha(t)$ is a conjugate linear isomorphism of product systems. Therefore, they share the same type and index. In particular, we obtain that α and its modular extension have the same type, and the index is doubled.

In Section 5 we will give more concrete examples on factors of types II_1, II_∞ and III. We note that automorphism groups are modularly extendable, hence we always have trivial examples of E_0-semigroups of type EI on every factor. At present it is still unclear to us whether any II_1 factor has nontrivial E_0-semigroups of types EI and EII (of course type EIII is impossible in this case). In the properly infinite case, we can say a bit more.

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that α and β are E_0-semigroups on factors M and N, respectively. Then $\alpha \otimes \beta$ is modularly extendable if and only if α and β are both modularly extendable. Furthermore, if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are faithful normal states on M and N with associated modular extensions $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$, respectively, then $\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\beta}$ is a modular extension with respect to the $\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$.*

Proof. The proof is an immediate application of two facts. Firstly, Theorem 2.2 shows that we may consider faithful normal states instead of f.n.s. weights, and allows us to choose product states to prove the modular extendability of $M\bar{\otimes}N$. Secondly, suppose that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are faithful normal sates on M and N, and J_1 and J_2 are their modular conjugations on $L^2(M,\phi_1)$ and $L^2(N,\phi_2)$, respectively. Then $\phi_1\otimes\phi_2$ is a faithful normal state on $M\bar{\otimes}N$ and its associated modular conjugation is given by $J_1\otimes J_2$. □

Corollary 4.10. *Suppose that M is a properly infinite factor. Then there exist E_0-semigroups of type EII and type EIII on M.*

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{E}(N)$ the set of all E_0-semigroups on a factor N, and let I denote the identity E_0-semigroup on N. Consider the map $\iota:\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))\to\mathcal{E}(M\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ given by $\iota(\beta)=I\otimes\beta$. It follows from the previous theorem that ι takes extendable E_0-semigroups to extendable E_0-semigroups, and furthermore the modular extension of $\iota(\beta)$ with respect to a tensor weight is given by $I\otimes\tilde{\beta}$, which is cocycle conjugate to $\tilde{\beta}$. It follows from Remark 4.8 that $\tilde{\beta}$ has the same type as β. The result now follows from the existence of E_0-semigroups of type II and III on type I_∞ factors (see [Pow99, Pow87]). □

Remark 4.11. Since every modularly extendable equimodular E_0-semigroup α on a properly infinite factor M has a joint unit with α', its modular extension cannot be of type III. Therefore, it follows from the previous Corollary that there exist E_0-semigroups which are modularly extendable yet not equimodular with respect to any weight. (Compare with Example 3.7).

4.2. **Coupling Index.** The coupling index was first introduced by Margetts and Srinivasan [MS13], and to our knowledge the concept of superproduct system also appeared for the first time in [MS13]. We quickly review these definitions here for use Section 5.

Let (M,ϕ) be a factorial noncommutative measure space, and let α be an E_0-semigroup on M. In order to simplify notation, we will identify M with $\pi_\phi(M)$, and we will denote by α' the E_0-semigroup on M' obtained by modular conjugation.

Definition 4.12 ([MS13])**.** A *superproduct system of Hilbert spaces* is a one-parameter family of separable Hilbert spaces $H=\{(t,H_t):t\geq 0\}$, together with isometries

$$U_{s,t}:H_s\otimes H_t\mapsto H_{s+t},\qquad s,t\in(0,\infty)$$

which satisfy the following requirements of associativity and measurability:

(1) (Associativity) For any $s_1,s_2,s_3\in(0,\infty)$
$$U_{s_1,s_2+s_3}(1_{H_{s_1}}\otimes U_{s_2,s_3})=U_{s_1+s_2,s_3}(U_{s_1,s_2}\otimes 1_{H_{s_3}}).$$
(2) (Measurability) The space H is equipped with a structure of standard Borel space that is such that the projection $p:H\to(0,\infty)$ onto the first coordinate is measurable, and the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle:\{(\xi,\eta)\in H\times H:p(\xi)=p(\eta)\}\to\mathbb{C}$ is measurable.

We will be particularly interested in the *coupling superproduct system* H_α associated to α (with respect ϕ, although we will suppress this dependency), defined as follows. For $t>0$ let

$$E^\alpha(t)=\{T\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)\ :\alpha_t(x)T=Tx,\ x\in M\},$$
$$E^{\alpha'}(t)=\{T\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)\ :\alpha'_t(y)T=Ty,\ y\in M'\}.$$

The fibers of H_α are given by $H_\alpha(t)=E^\alpha(t)\cap E^{\alpha'}(t)$ for all t, and the complex-valued inner product is uniquely determined by the identity $y^*x=\langle x,y\rangle 1$, for $x,y\in H_\alpha(t)$. We endow H with relative product Borel structure on $(0,\infty)\times\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$, arising from the weak*-topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$. See [MS14] for more details, and the proof that this is indeed a superproduct system.

Definition 4.13. A *unit* for an E_0-semigroup α on a von Neumann algebra M is a strongly continuous semigroup $\{T_t : t \geq 0\}$ of bounded operators acting on $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ satisfying $T_0 = I$ and $\alpha_t(x)T_t = T_t x$, for all $x \in M$.

Definition 4.14. A unit for a superproduct system $(H_t, U_{s,t})$ is a measurable section $\{u_t, t \geq 0\}$ satisfying

$$U_{s,t}(u_t \otimes u_s) = u_{s+t}, \quad s, t \in (0, \infty).$$

We note that the units of the coupling superproduct system H_α are precisely the common units for α and α'. In particular, such units may fail to exist.

Remark 4.15. We note that when α is equimodular, units for the coupling superproduct system always exist. In fact, suppose that α is an equimodular E_0-semigroup on a factorial noncommutative measure space (M, ϕ). Then α_t is ϕ-preserving for every t, hence there exists a one-parameter family of strongly continuous isometries $\{u_t :\geq 0\}$ satisfying $u_t x_\phi = (\alpha_t(x))_\phi$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_\phi \cap \mathfrak{N}_\phi^*$ and $t \geq 0$. Consequently we have $u_t x = \alpha_t(x)u_t$, for all $x \in M$ and $t \geq 0$, and it is clear that (u_t) has the semigroup property which commutes with the modular conjugation. Hence it also interwines with α' and constitutes a unit, which is called the *canonical unit* for the coupling superproduct system.

Let $\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha')$ be the collection of all units of the coupling superproduct system H_α, and suppose that it is nonempty. Let $S, T \in \mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha')$ be two units. Then the function $f(t) = \langle S_t, T_t \rangle$ is measurable and it satisfies $f(t + s) = f(t)f(s)$ and $f(0) = 1$. So there exists a complex number $c(S, T)$ such that $\langle S_t, T_t \rangle = e^{tc(S,T)}$. The associated covariance function $c : \mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha') \times \mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha') \to \mathbb{C}$ is conditionally positive definite (by the same reasoning as in [Arv03, Proposition 2.5.2]). Following the same approach as in the definition of the Arveson-Powers index, we can define a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha'))$ as follows. Let $\mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha')$ be the set of finitely supported zero-mean complex-valued functions endowed with the semi-definite inner-product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x,y \in \mathcal{U}(\alpha,\alpha')} c(x, y) f(x) \overline{g(y)}.$$

We define $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha'))$ to be the Hilbert space obtained by the associated quotient and completion of $\mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha')$ (for more details regarding this construction, see [Arv03, Remark 2.5.3]).

Definition 4.16 ([MS13])**.** If α is an E$_0$-semigroup such that $\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha')$ is nonempty, then its coupling index is defined to be $\mathrm{Ind}_c(\alpha) = \dim \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \alpha'))$.

Remark 4.17. It follows from Theorem 2.2 and its proof that the coupling superproduct systems associated to different weights will be isomorphic via the unitary implementing the unitary equivalence between the associated GNS representations. By the same token, it is straightforward to apply the techniques of the proof of Theorem 4.7 to show that the coupling index is a cocycle conjugacy invariant.

Remark 4.18. It is straightforward to check that if α has a modular extension $\widetilde{\alpha}$, then the coupling superproduct system of α is actually a *product* system and its coupling constant is related to the Arveson-Powers index of the modular extension by the formula

$$\mathrm{Ind}_c(\alpha) = \mathrm{Ind}(\widetilde{\alpha})$$

It is worth noting the perhaps inconvenient fact that when α is an E$_0$-semigroup of a type I$_\infty$ factor, the coupling index turns out to be twice the Arveson-Powers index, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Ind}_c(\alpha) = 2\,\mathrm{Ind}(\alpha)$$

The following proposition will be useful for the computation of the coupling index in the examples. We omit the straightforward proof.

Proposition 4.19. *Let (M, ϕ) be a factorial noncommutative measure space, let α be an E_0-semigroup on M, and let H_α be its associated coupling superproduct system. Suppose that $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_\phi$ is a normalized vector. Then the map $\rho : H_\alpha \to (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{H}_\phi$ given by*

$$\rho(t, T) = (t, T\Omega)$$

is injective, isometric fiberwise and measurable when the range has the canonical Borel product structure. In particular the $\rho(H_\alpha)$ has a natural superproduct system structure via the pushforward, under which it is isomorphic to H_α.

4.3. **Relative commutant index.** In this subsection we introduce an invariant for certain E_0-semigroups on a factorial noncommutative measure space (M, ϕ), which is a generalization of the invariant defined by Alevras [Ale04] for the context of II_1 factors.

Let us quickly review Hideki Kosaki's notion of index for a subfactor of a general factor (see [Kos86]). Let N be a subfactor of a factor M and let $E : M \to N$ be a faithful normal conditional expectation. Haagerup [Haa79a, Haa79b]) proved that there exists a faithful normal operator-valued weight $E^{-1} : N' \to M'$ which is characterized by the following identity: if ϕ is an f.n.s. weight on N and ψ is an f.n.s. weight on M',

$$\frac{d(\phi \circ E)}{d(\psi)} = \frac{d(\phi)}{d(\psi \circ E^{-1})},$$

where $d(\phi \circ E)/d(\psi)$ and $d(\phi)/d(\psi \circ E^{-1})$ are Connes spatial derivatives (see [Con80]). The Kosaki index of E, which is a scalar, is defined by

$$(\operatorname{Ind} E)\, 1 = E^{-1}(1)$$

Let $\mathcal{E}(M, N)$ be the collection of all faithful normal conditional expectations from M onto N. Then the *minimal index of the pair $N \subseteq M$* is defined to be

$$[M : N] = \min\{\operatorname{Ind} E \,:\, E \in \mathcal{E}(M, N)\}.$$

We note that if $\operatorname{Ind} E = \infty$ for some $E \in \mathcal{E}(M, N)$, then it is infinite for all elements of $\mathcal{E}(M, N)$, in which case $[M : N] = \infty$ (see [Hia88]). In fact, there exists $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}(M, N)$ such that $[M : N] = \operatorname{Ind} E_0$. We note that if γ is an automorphism of M, then by [Kos86, Theorem 2.2],

$$[M : \gamma(N)] = [M : N] \tag{4.1}$$

Definition 4.20. Let M be a factor, and let $\alpha = \{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ be an E_0-semigroup on M. For every $t \geq 0$, let $N_\alpha(t) = (\alpha_t(M)' \cap M) \vee \alpha_t(M)$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by $\alpha_t(M)' \cap M$ and $\alpha_t(M)$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ the set of all $t \geq 0$ such that $N_\alpha(t)$ is a subfactor of M and $\mathcal{E}(M, N_t) \neq \varnothing$. For every $t \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha$, let

$$c_\alpha(t) = [M : N_\alpha(t)].$$

If $\mathcal{I}_\alpha \neq \varnothing$, then we define the *relative commutant index of α* to be the family $(c_\alpha(t))_{t \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha}$.

Lemma 4.21. *Let (M, ϕ) be a noncommutative probability space, and let α be an equimodular E_0-semigroup on M. Then for every $t \geq 0$, there exists a faithful normal conditional expectation $E_t : M \to N_\alpha(t)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, we have that $\alpha_t(M)$ is invariant under the modular automorphism group σ_t^ϕ, hence so is its relative commutant. Therefore, $N_\alpha(t)$ is also invariant under the modular group. It follows from Takesaki's theorem, that there exists a ϕ-preserving faithful normal conditional expectation E_t from M onto N_t. □

Remark 4.22. It follows that if α is equimodular, then $t \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha$ if and only if $N_\alpha(t)$ is a factor. Thus, by [Str81, Corollary 10.7] we have that $t \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha_t(M)' \cap M$ is a factor. In all the examples we consider in this paper, this condition holds for all $t \geq 0$.

Proposition 4.23. *Let M and N be factors and let α and β be E_0-semigroups on M and N, respectively. Suppose that $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{I}_\beta$ are nonempty. Then*

(i) The relative commutant index of α, that is the family $\{c_\alpha(t)\}_{t\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha}$, is invariant under conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy.

(ii) For all $t \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{I}_\beta$ we have that $c_{\alpha\otimes\beta}(t) = c_\alpha(t) \cdot c_\beta(t)$.

Proof. (i) Since the minimal index is invariant under automorphisms cf. eq. (4.1), it is conjugacy invariant. We now prove that it is an invariant of cocycle equivalence. Let $\{U_t : t \geq 0\}$ be an α-cocycle in M and suppose that $\beta_t = \mathrm{Ad}(U_t) \circ \alpha_t$. It is straightforward to see that

$$(\beta_t(M)' \cap M) \vee \beta_t(M) = U_t((\alpha_t(M)' \cap M) \vee \alpha_t(M))U_t^*,$$

i.e. $N_\beta(t) = U_t N_\alpha(t) U_t^*$. So by eq. (4.1), we have that $[M : N_\alpha(t)] = [M : N_\beta(t)]$, i.e. $c_\alpha(t) = c_\beta(t)$.

(ii) We have, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{\alpha\otimes\beta}(t) &= \big((\alpha_t \otimes \beta_t)(M \otimes N)' \cap (M \otimes N)\big) \vee (\alpha_t \otimes \beta_t)(M \otimes N) \\
&= \big((\alpha_t(M) \otimes \beta_t(N))' \cap M \otimes N\big) \vee \alpha_t(M) \otimes \beta_t(N) \\
&= \big((\alpha_t(M)' \otimes \beta_t(N)') \cap M \otimes N\big) \vee \alpha_t(M) \otimes \beta_t(N) \\
&= \big((\alpha_t(M)' \cap M) \otimes (\beta_t(N)' \cap N)\big) \vee \alpha_t(M) \otimes \beta_t(N) \\
&= \big((\alpha_t(M)' \cap M) \vee \alpha_t(M)\big) \otimes \big((\beta_t(N)' \cap N) \vee \beta_t(N)\big) = N_\alpha(t) \otimes N_\beta(t)
\end{aligned}$$

Then the multiplicative property of the minimal index over the tensor product completes the proof (see [Lon89, Corollary 5.6]).

□

Remark 4.24. Let (M, ϕ) be a noncommutative measure space, and let α be an equimodular E_0-semigroup on M. By Theorem 4.4, if $I_\alpha = [0, \infty)$ and $c_\alpha(t) = 1$ for all t, we have that α is modularly extendable. Conversely, also by Theorem 4.4, when ϕ is a faithful state and α is modularly extendable we have that $N_\alpha(t) = M$ for every t. Therefore $I_\alpha = [0, \infty)$ and $c_\alpha(t) = 1$ for all t.

5. Examples

In this section we determine the modular extendability, coupling index and relative commutant index for the following examples of E_0-semigroups: q-CCR flows for $q \in (-1, 1)$ and CAR flows.

For the sake of comparison, we start by commenting briefly on the CCR flows, which have been studied extensively by Margetts and Srinivasan in [MS14].

For $q \in (-1, 1)$, the q-CCR flows provide an interesting generalization of the CCR-flows (which would correspond to the case $q = 1$). The q-CCR flows however, in contrast to the CCR flows, turn out *not* to be modularly extendable. As we will discuss, the q-CCR flows act on the so called q-Gaussian II_1 factors, which are not injective, do not have property Γ and are strongly solid.

The CAR flows provide similar examples of E_0-semigroups which are equimodular but not modularly extendable, and they act on hyperfinite factors of type II_1, type II_∞ and type III_λ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, depending on the choice of quasi-free state.

To our knowledge, the q-CCR flows have not been considered directly in the literature earlier from the point of view of classification of E_0-semigroups. The CAR flows for a subset of quasi-free states considered here, appeared earlier in [BISS14] and [Bik13], respectively. In this paper we are interested in their invariants, which have not been computed before.

For the remainder of this section, let $\mathcal{K}$ be a separable Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0,\infty)\otimes\mathcal{K}$ and let $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the shift semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$(S_t f)(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s < t, \\ f(s-t), & s \geq t. \end{cases}$$

5.1. **CCR flows.** We review the definition of the CCR flows. Let $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H})$ denote the symmetric or Bosonic Fock space with one-particle space $\mathcal{H}$ and vaccum vector Ω. Given $f\in\mathcal{H}$, let $W(f)\in\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H}))$ be the Weyl operator uniquely determined by

$$W(f)\Omega = \exp(f) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} f^{\otimes n}$$

The CCR algebra $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{H})$ is the C*-algebra generated by the all Weyl operators over $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator such that $A-1$ is positive. There exists a unique state φ_A on $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{H})$, called the quasifree state with symbol A, satisfying

$$\varphi_A(W(f)) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Af,f\rangle}$$

In addition, when $A-1$ is injective, the GNS representation of φ_A can be described explicitly as follows. Let $T=\frac{1}{2}(A-1)$ and let q be an anti-unitary on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $qS_t = S_t q$, for $t\geq 0$. Let π_A be the representation of $\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H})\otimes\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying

$$\pi_A(W(f)) = W(\sqrt{1+T}f)\otimes W(q\sqrt{T}f), \qquad \forall f\in\mathcal{H}$$

Then it is straightforward to check that π is the GNS representation for φ_A with cyclic and separating vector $\Omega\otimes\Omega$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Hilbert space, let $\mathcal{H}=L^2(0,\infty)\otimes\mathcal{K}$, and let $A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator such that $A\geq 1$, $A-1$ is injective and $S_t^*AS_t = A$ for all t. The *CCR flow* corresponding to A is the unique E_0-semigroup β^A on $M_A=\pi_A(\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{H}))''$ satisfying

$$\beta_t^A(\pi_A(W(f))) = \pi_A(W(S_t f)), \qquad \forall f\in\mathcal{H}, t\geq 0.$$

For simplicity, let us fix such a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and operator $A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $A\geq 1$, $A-1$ is injective and and $S_t^*AS_t=A$ for all t. The existence of the CCR flow β^A is a direct consequence of a straightforward generalization of [Arv03, Proposition 2.1.3].

Margetts and Stinivasan [MS14, Proposition 7.5] proved that β^A is equimodular if and only if there exists $R\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $A=1\otimes R$, and in that case β^A is modularly extendable with modular extension given by the CCR flow on $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H})\otimes\Gamma_s(\mathcal{H}))$ of index 2κ. In summary, in our language [MS14, Proposition 7.5] states that the CCR flow associated to $A=1\otimes R$ is equimodular, modularly extendable, has type EI and $\mathrm{Ind}_c(\beta^A)=2\kappa$.

Moreover, by Remark 4.24, since in this case β^A is equimodular and modularly extendable, its relative commutant index is the constant family equal to 1.

We note that if $A=1\otimes R$ for $R\geq 1$, and $A-1$ injective, we have that M_A is a type III factor (see [MS14]). For example, for $\lambda\in(0,1)$, let $A=\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$. Then $A\geq 1$ and $A-1$ is invertible, and $M_\lambda=\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{CCR}(\mathcal{H}))''$ is a type III_λ factor (see [Hol71, AW69]).

5.2. **q-CCR flows.** Here we discuss examples of E_0-semigroups arising from the q-canonical commutation relations. For more details on the basic construction see [BS91, BKS97]. Following the convention used in the literature for compatibility of the formulas, in this subsection our inner product will be conjugate linear in the first entry.

Let $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$ be a *real* Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}+i\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$ be its complexification. Let $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}=L^2(0,\infty;\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R})$ be the real Hilbert space of square integrable functions taking values in $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathcal{H}=L^2(0,\infty;\mathcal{K})$, which is the complexification of $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$.

Let $q\in(-1,1)$ is a fixed real number. Let $\mathcal{F}_f(\mathcal{H})$ be the linear span of vectors of the form $f_1\otimes f_2\otimes\cdots\otimes f_n\in\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ (with varying $n\in\mathbb{N}$), where we set $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0}\cong\mathbb{C}\Omega$ for some

distinguished vector, called the vacuum vector. On $\mathcal{F}_f(\mathcal{H})$, we consider the sesquilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_q$ given by the sesquilinear extension of

$$\langle f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots f_n,\ g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes \cdots g_m\rangle_q := \delta_{mn} \sum_{\pi\in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \langle f_1, g_{\pi(1)}\rangle \cdots \langle f_n, g_{\pi(n)}\rangle$$

where S_n denotes the symmetric group of permutations of n elements and $i(\pi)$ is the number of inversions of the permutation $\pi \in S_n$, defined by

$$i(\pi) := \#\big\{(i,j) \,\big|\, 1 \le i < j \le n,\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)\big\}.$$

The q-Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ is the completion of $\mathcal{F}_f(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_q$. Given $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the creation operator $l(f)$ on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ is the bounded operator defined by

$$l(f)\Omega = f,$$
$$l(f) f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = f \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n,$$

and its adjoint is the annihilation operator $l(f)^*$ given by

$$l(f)^*\Omega = 0,$$
$$l(f)^* f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{i-1} \langle f, f_i\rangle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \breve{f}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n,$$

We have that the following q-canonical commutation relation is satisfied:

$$l(f)^* l(g) - q l(g) l(f)^* = \langle f, g\rangle \cdot 1 \qquad f, g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$, we define the self-adjoint operator $W(f) = l(f) + l(f)^*$, and we define the von Neumann algebra

$$\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}) = \{W(f) \,|\, f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}\}''.$$

We recall that for every $q \in (-1,1)$, the so called q-Gaussian von Neumann algebra $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$ is a II_1 factor (see [BKS97]), which is not injective (see [Nou04]), does not have property Γ (see [Śni04]) and it is strongly solid (see [Ave11]). The vector state $\tau(x) = \langle x\Omega, \Omega\rangle_q$ is the trace for $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$, hence $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$ is in standard form in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ with respect to the the cyclic and separating vector Ω. Therefore we have a well-defined injective map $W : \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})\Omega \to \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$ uniquely determined by the identity $W(\xi)\Omega = \xi$ for $\xi \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})\Omega$ (we note that this definition of W extends the previous one since $W(f)\Omega = f$ when $f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$). Let $e \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$ be a vector of norm one and denote by E_e the closed subspace of $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ spanned by the elements $\{e^{\otimes n} \,|\, n \ge 0\}$, i.e. $E_e = \mathcal{F}_q(\mathbb{C}e)$. It is straightforward to check that for $\xi \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})\Omega$, we have that $W(\xi) \in W(e)''$ if and only if $\xi \in E_e \cap \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})\Omega$.

Definition 5.2. Suppose that $q \in (-1,1)$. Let $\{S_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ denote the shift semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$, and also its restriction to $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$. The *q-CCR flow* of rank $\dim \mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$ is the unique E$_0$-semigroup α^q on $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\alpha^q_t(W(f)) = W(S_t f), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}.$$

We note that the q-CCR flow is a well-defined E$_0$-semigroup, since it is obtained via the second quantization functor Γ_q introduced by Bożejko, Kümmerer and Speicher [BKS97].

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that $q \in (-1,1)$ and let α^q be q-CCR flow corresponding to a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$.*

(1) The q-CCR flow α^q is equimodular with respect to the trace $\tau(x) = \langle x\Omega, \Omega\rangle_q$.
(2) $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}) \cap \alpha^q_t(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}))' = \mathbb{C}\cdot 1$ for all $t \ge 0$.
(3) the q-CCR flow α^q is not modularly extendable.
(4) Let $\mathcal{F}_q(S_t) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ be the quantization of the shift S_t. The coupling superproduct system $(H_{\alpha^q}(t))_{t\ge 0}$ is given by $H_{\alpha^q}(t) = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mathcal{F}_q(S_t)$ and multiplication is given by operator multiplication.
(5) The coupling index of α^q is zero.

(6) The relative commutant index of α^q is the constant family equal to ∞.

Proof. (1) Any unital normal $*$-endomorphism on a II_1 factor is equimodular with respect to the trace (see [BISS14]), hence α^q is equimodular with respect to τ.

(2) Let $t \geq 0$ be fixed, and let $x \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}) \cap \alpha_t^q(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}))'$. There exists $\xi \in \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})\Omega$ such that $x = W(\xi)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$ be a vector of norm one and let $e = S_t f$. Notice that $[x, W(e)] = [x, \alpha_t^q(W(f))] = 0$. By [Ric05, Theorem 1], $W(e)''$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$, hence we must have that $x \in W(S_t f)''$. Therefore $\xi \in E_{S_t f}$, for every vector $f \in \mathcal{H}_R$ of norm one. Thus $x \in \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$.

(3) It follows from item (2) that $(\alpha_t^q(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})) \cup (\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}) \cap \alpha_t^q(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}))')'' = \alpha_t^q(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})) \neq \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R})$. Therefore by item (1) and Remark 3.9, we have that α^q is not modularly extendable.

(4) By [MS13, Proposition 8.11] that $H_{\alpha^q}(t)\Omega$ is the closure of $(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}) \cap \alpha_t^q(\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}))')\Omega$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.19, we have that $H_{\alpha^q}(t)$ is one-dimensional for every $t > 0$. Notice that $\mathcal{F}_q(S_t)$ is unit of α^q and by equimodularity it is also a unit of $(\alpha^q)'$. Hence we obtain that $H_{\alpha^q}(t) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathcal{F}_q(S_t)$. It is clear that the multiplication is given by operator multiplication.

(5) and (6) follow trivially from the previous items. □

Remark 5.4. We note that the q-CCR flow provides an example of equimodular E_0-semigroup whose superproduct system is actually a product system despite the fact that it is not modularly extendable.

5.3. **CAR flows.** Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$ denote the anti-symmetric Fock space with vacuum vector Ω. For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, let $c(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}))$ be the creation operator given by

$$c(f)\Omega = f, \qquad c(f) f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n = f \wedge f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n, \qquad f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{H}$$

We note that the map $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}))$ given by $f \mapsto c(f)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, and it satisfies the canonical commutation relations

$$c(f)c(g) + c(g)c(f) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c(f)c(g)^* + c(g)^* c(f) = \langle f, g \rangle 1, \qquad f, g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

where of course 1 denotes the identity operator. The CAR algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ is the unital C^*-algebra generated by $\{a(f) : f \in \mathcal{H}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}))$. We note that $||a(f)|| = ||f||$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Now suppose $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $0 \leq R \leq 1$. Every such operator R determines a unique state ω_R on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$, called the quasi-free state with two-point function R, which satisfies the following condition:

$$\omega_R(c^*(f_m) \cdots c^*(f_1) c(g_1) \cdots c(g_n)) = \delta_{mn} \det(\langle g_i, R f_j \rangle).$$

We will also use the definition of the even CAR algebra. Let γ be the unique unital automorphism of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\gamma(c(f)) = -c(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. The even CAR algebra is the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_e(\mathcal{H}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \gamma(x) = x\}$. It is easy to show that the even CAR algebra is generated as a C*-algebra by the homogeneous monomials of even degree on creation and annihilation operators.

Definition 5.5. Suppose that $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $0 \leq R \leq 1$ and $S_t^* R S_t = R$ for all $t \geq 0$, and let π_R be the GNS representation for ω_R. Then the unique E_0-semigroup α^R on $M_R = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}))''$ satisfying

$$\alpha_t^R(\pi_R(c(f)) = \pi_R(c(S_t f)), \qquad f \in \mathcal{H}, t \geq 0$$

is called the *CAR flow of rank dim* $\mathcal{K}$ (on M_R) associated to the operator R.

It follows from a straightforward generalization of [Arv03, Proposition 13.2.3] for the context of factors of all possible types, that the CAR flow associated to R is well-defined. We also note that M_R is always a hyperfinite factor (see [PS70]).

In the case that $0 \leq R \leq 1$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies the additional conditions that R and $1-R$ are invertible, we have a convenient description of the GNS representation of ω_R. Indeed, let Q be an anti-unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$ with $Q^2 = 1$, and let Γ be the unique unitary operator on $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Gamma\Omega = \Omega$ and $\Gamma c(f) = -c(f)\Gamma$, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Then there exists a representation π_R of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_R = \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$ defined by the following formulas (see for instance [BR81]): for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_R(1) &= 1, \\ \pi_R(c(f)) &= c((1-R)^{1/2}f) \otimes \Gamma + 1 \otimes c^*(QR^{1/2}f), \\ \pi_R(c^*(f)) &= c^*((1-R)^{1/2}f) \otimes \Gamma + 1 \otimes c(QR^{1/2}f). \end{aligned}$$

When R and $1-R$ are invertible, the representation π_R on $\mathcal{H}_R$ is the GNS representation for ω_R with respect to the cyclic vector $\Omega \otimes \Omega$. We denote the normal extension of the quasifree state ω_R to M_R by the same symbol ω_R. We will often write $c(f)$ instead of $\pi_R(c(f))$ to lighten notation when the representation is determined by the context.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator such that $0 \leq R \leq 1$, $S_t^* R S_t = R$ for all $t \geq 0$, and R and $1-R$ are invertible. Suppose that $\mathcal{K}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $R\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. Then there exists a unique normal ω_R-preserving conditional expectation of M_R onto $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}))''$.*

Proof. Let $\{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be the modular automorphism group on M_R with respect to the normal state ω_R. Then the KMS condition for the modular automorphism group implies that (see [BR81, Example 5.3.2]),

$$\sigma_t(c(f)) = c(R^{it}(1-R)^{-it}f), \qquad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $R\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, we have that $\sigma_t(\pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}))) \subseteq \pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}))$. Now it follows from Takesaki's theorem (see [Tak72, Section 3, p.309]) that there exists a unique normal ω_R-preserving conditional expectation from M_R onto $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}))''$. □

The next three lemmas are certainly known to the experts, however we did not find a direct reference in the literature. Hence we provide their proofs here for the convenience of the reader. The authors thank M. Izumi for pointing out a slick proof for Lemma 5.7. Lemma 5.9 generalizes a result in [Bik13], and the proof below uses a crossed product idea suggested by an anonymous referee of that paper.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Hilbert space and γ be the period two automorphism of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K})$ given by $\gamma(c(f)) = -c(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be a positive contraction, let ω_R be the quasi-free state of $\mathcal{K}$ of R, and let π_R be the GNS representation for ω_R. Since γ preserves ω_R, it extends to an automorphism γ_R on the weak closure $M_R = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}))''$. Then the automorphism γ_R is inner if and only if $\mathrm{Tr}(R - R^2) < \infty$.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{Tr}(R-R^2) < \infty$ the von Neumann algebra M_R is a type I factor by [PS70, Lemma 5.3], hence every automorphism of M_R is inner.

Conversely, suppose that γ_R is inner. Then there exists a unitary $u \in M_R$ such that $u^2 = 1$ satisfying $\gamma_R = \mathrm{Ad}(u)$. Note that $\gamma_R(u) = u$ and therefore it is even in the sense that $u \in \pi_R(\mathcal{A}_e(\mathcal{K}))''$. We consider the purification of ω_R as in [PS70]. Let

$$E_R = \begin{pmatrix} R & \sqrt{R(1-R)} \\ \sqrt{R(1-R)} & 1-R \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which are projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}^2)$ where $\mathcal{K}^2 = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Then we have that M_R is isomorphic to $\pi_{E_R}(\mathcal{A}(p\mathcal{K}^2))''$. Therefore, using this isomorphism, there exists $u \in \pi_{E_R}(\mathcal{A}(p\mathcal{K}^2))''$ such that $u^2 = 1$ and $uc(f)u = -c(f)$ for every $f \in p\mathcal{K}^2$, and furthermore u is even in the sense

that $u \in \pi_{E_R}(\mathcal{A}_e(p\mathcal{K}^2))''$. Let $\mathrm{Ad}(u)$ be the associated automorphism of $\pi_{E_R}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}^2))''$. Since u is a even, i.e. $u \in \pi_{E_R}(\mathcal{A}_e(p\mathcal{K}^2))''$, we have that,

$$\mathrm{Ad}(u)(c(f)) = \begin{cases} -c(f), & f \in p\mathcal{K}^2, \\ c(f), & f \in (1-p)\mathcal{K}^2, \end{cases}$$

Hence it is straightforward to check that $\mathrm{Ad}(u) \circ \pi_{E_R}$ can be identified with π_F, where

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} R & -\sqrt{R(1-R)} \\ -\sqrt{R(1-R)} & 1-R \end{pmatrix}$$

Since π_{E_R} and π_F are unitarily equivalent, by [PS70, Theorem 2.8] we have that $E_R - F$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, that is $\mathrm{Tr}(R - R^2) < \infty$. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ be a positive contraction. Let ω_R be the quasi-free state associated to R, and let π_R be its GNS representation. Let $B_e = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}_e(\mathfrak{H}))''$ and let $B = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{H}))''$. Then B_e is a factor, and we have in addition that $\mathrm{Tr}(R - R^2) = \infty$, then $B_e' \cap B = \mathbb{C}1$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ be given by $\gamma(\pi_R(c(g))) = -\pi_R(c(g))$ for $g \in K$. Note that B is a factor and B_e is the fixed point algebra of B under γ, which has period two, therefore B_e is a factor. Let $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $||f|| = 1$, consider $u = \pi_R(c(f)) + \pi_R(c(f))^*$ and notice that $\gamma(u) = -u$ and $u^2 = 1$. Let $\sigma = \mathrm{Ad}(u)$ on B_e. It is straightforward to check that B is isomorphic to the crossed product $B_e \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, γ implements the dual action of σ on B_e via this isomorphism. When $\mathrm{Tr}(R - R^2) = \infty$, by Lemma 5.7 we have that γ is outer, hence σ is also outer on B_e. Since B_e is a factor, it follows that σ acts freely on B_e. Every element of $x \in B$ can be written uniquely as $y + zu$ for $y, z \in B_e$. Hence a straightforward computation shows that $B_e' \cap B = \mathbb{C}I$. □

Lemma 5.9. *Let $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator such that $0 \leq R \leq 1$ and R and $1 - R$ are invertible. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $R\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, and suppose that $Tr(R|_{\mathcal{K}} - R|_{\mathcal{K}}^2) = \infty$. Let $\mathcal{A}_e(\mathcal{K}^\perp)$ be the even part of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}^\perp)$. Then*

$$M_R \cap \pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}))' = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}_e(\mathcal{K}^\perp))''.$$

Proof. We may assume that $\mathcal{K} \neq \mathcal{H}$. Let $N = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}))''$ and let $P = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}_e(\mathcal{K}^\perp))''$. It is clear that N and P commute. By Lemma 5.6, there exists a conditional expectation E from M_R onto N which is normal and ω_R-preserving, and it is faithful since ω_R is faithful when R and $1-R$ are invertible. It follows from [Str81, Theorem 9.12, p.124] that $(N \cup P)''$ is isomorphic to $N \bar{\otimes} P$.

Notice that N and P are canonically identified with their cutdowns by the projection onto the closure of $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{H}))\Omega \otimes \Omega$ when $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{K}^\perp$, respectively. Hence it follows from Lemma 5.8 that N and P are subfactors of M_R. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$ be a fixed vector with $\|f\| = 1$, and set $u = \pi_R(c(f) + c^*(f))$. Then u is a self-adjoint unitary, so $u^2 = 1$, and it normalizes N and P. We denote by γ the restriction of $\mathrm{Ad}(u)$ to $(N \cup P)'' \cong N \bar{\otimes} P$, and let γ_N and γ_P be its restrictions to N and P, respectively. Note that

$$\gamma_N(\pi_R(c(f))) = -\pi_R(c(f)), \qquad f \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Since $\mathrm{Tr}(R|_{\mathcal{K}} - R|_{\mathcal{K}}^2) = \infty$, by Lemma 5.7, we have that γ_N is outer (relative to N). Hence $\gamma \cong \gamma_N \otimes \gamma_P$ must be outer (recall that the tensor product of automorphisms is inner if and only if both automorphisms are inner).

Since $\pi_R(A(\mathcal{K}^\perp))'' = P + Pu$, we see that M_R is generated by $(P \cup N)''$ and u. Moreover, notice that M_R is isomorphic to the crossed product $(N \bar{\otimes} P) \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, every $x \in M_R$ is uniquely expressed as $x = y + zu$ with $y, z \in N \bar{\otimes} P$. It remains to show that $M \cap N' = P$. Let $x = y + zu$ with $y, z \in N \bar{\otimes} P$, and suppose that $xa = ax$ for all $a \in N$. Then we have that $ya = ay$ and $z\gamma(a) = az$, for all $a \in N$. Hence $y \in P$ and

$z \in (\pi_R(\mathcal{A}_e(\mathcal{K}))' \cap N) \otimes P$. By Lemma 5.8 for $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{K}$, we have that $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}_e(\mathcal{K}))' \cap N = \mathbb{C}I$. So $z \in 1 \otimes P$ and it satisfies $z\gamma(a) = az$, for all $a \in N$. In particular, if $g \in CK$ and $a = \pi_R(c(g) + c^*(g))$ we have that $az = z\gamma(a) = -za = az$ since N and P commute. However a is unitary, hence $z = -z$, that is $z = 0$. So we have that $N' \cap M_R = P$. □

Theorem 5.10. *Let $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator such that $0 \leq R \leq 1$, $S_t^* R S_t = R$ for all $t \geq 0$, and R and $1 - R$ are invertible. Furthermore, suppose that $RS_t\mathcal{H} \subseteq S_t\mathcal{H}$ and $Tr(R|_{S_t\mathcal{H}} - R|^2_{S_t\mathcal{H}}) = \infty$. Then the CAR flow α^R has the following properties:*

(1) it is equimodular with respect to ω_R.
(2) it is not modularly extendable.
(3) the relative commutant index $(c_\alpha(t))_{t\geq 0}$ satisfies $1 < c_\alpha(t) \leq 2$ for all $t \geq 0$.
(4) if in addition R is diagonalizable and $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma(R)$, then $\mathrm{Ind}_c(\alpha) = 0$, in other words the coupling index of α is zero.

Proof. Let R as in the statement of the theorem be fixed. We write $\alpha = \alpha^R$.

(1) It is clear that α_t is ω_R-preserving. By Lemma 5.6 applied to the subspace $S_t\mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique normal ω_R-preserving conditional expectation from M_R onto $\alpha_t(M_R) = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}(S_t\mathcal{H}))''$. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that α_t is equimodular with respect to ω_R.

(2) By the previous item, the CAR flow α is equimodular. By Lemma 5.9, it follows that for $t > 0$

$$M_R \cap \alpha_t(M_R)' = \pi_R(A_e((S_t\mathcal{H})^\perp))'',$$

However, we have that $M_R \cap \alpha_t(M_R)'$ and $\alpha_t(M_R)$ can not generate M_R as von Neumann algebra, since the subspace generated by the action of $M_R \cap \alpha_t(M_R)'$ and $\alpha_t(M_R)$ on the vaccum $\Omega \otimes \Omega$ is orthogonal to $\pi_R(c(f))\Omega \otimes \Omega$ for all $f \in (S_tH)^\perp$. Hence by [BISS14, Corollary 3.7], the endomorphism α_t is not modularly extendable.

(3) Let $f_0 \in (S_t\mathcal{H})^\perp = L^2(0,t) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with $\|f_0\| = 1$ and consider $u(f_0) = \pi_R(c(f_0)) + \pi_R(c(f_0)^*)$ which is a self adjoint unitary. Let $N_\alpha(t) = (\alpha_t(M_R)' \cap M_R) \vee \alpha_t(M_R)$ and let $p_\alpha(t) \in B(\mathcal{H}_R)$ be the orthogonal projection onto the closure of the subspace $N_\alpha(t)\Omega \otimes \Omega$. By Lemma 5.9, we have that $N_\alpha(t) = \pi_R(\mathcal{A}_e((S_t\mathcal{H})^\perp))'' \vee \alpha_t(M_R)$, and by the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 5.9 we have that $N_\alpha(t)$ is a factor for every $t \geq 0$. Therefore, we have that the relative commutant index set is $\mathcal{I}_\alpha = [0, \infty)$. By straightforward however elaborate computations involving the explicit form of elememts in the range of $p_\alpha(t)$, one can check that

$$u(f_0)p_\alpha(t)u(f_0) + p_\alpha(t) = 1.$$

Since α is equimodular, by Lemma 4.21 there exists a unique ω_R-preserving normal conditional expectation E_t of M_R onto $N_\alpha(t)$. Let E_t^{-1} denote the associated operator-valued weight from $N_\alpha(t)'$ to M_R', and let J be the modular conjugation operator of M_R with respect to $\Omega \otimes \Omega$. Let F_t be the operator-valued weight from $M_R \vee \{p_\alpha(t)\}''$ to M_R formally defined by $F_t(\cdot) = JE_t^{-1}(J \cdot J)J$. Since $Jp_\alpha(t)J = p_\alpha(t)$ and $E_t^{-1}(p_\alpha(t)) = 1$, (see [Kos86]), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E_t^{-1}(I) = F_t(I) &= F_t(u(f_0)p_\alpha(t)u(f_0) + p_\alpha(t)) \\ &= u(f_0)F_t(p_\alpha(t))u(f_0) + F_t(p_\alpha(t)) \\ &= u(f_0)u(f_0) + I = 2I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Ind $E_t = 2$. As $N_\alpha(t) \neq M_R$, we have that $1 < [M_R,\ N_\alpha] \leq 2$, that is to say $1 < c_\alpha(t) \leq 2$.

(4) With the additional assumptions on R, we find ourselves in the framework of [Bik13, Section 3.1]. Namely, R and $1 - R$ are invertible, R is diagonalizable, $\frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma(R)$ and furthermore R commutes with S_t for all t. Indeed, since $S_tR\mathcal{H} \subseteq S_tR$ we have that $S_tS_t^*R = RS_tS_t^*$. Moreover, since $S_t^*RS_t = R$ we have that

$$S_tR = S_tS_t^*RS_t = RS_tS_t^*S_t = RS_t.$$

Let $H_\alpha = \{(t, H_\alpha(t)),\, t \geq 0\}$ be the coupling superproduct system associated to for the CAR flow α. By Proposition 4.19, the map $\rho : H_\alpha \to (0,\infty) \times \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$ given by $\rho(t,T) = T\Omega \otimes \Omega$ is injective, fiberwise isometric and measurable, and hence the image can identified as a superproduct system with H_α, with product given by $\rho((t,T)\cdot(s,S)) = (t+s, TS\Omega\otimes\Omega)$. For simplicity, we will denote $H^\rho_\alpha(t)$ the t fiber of $\rho(H_\alpha)$ inside the space $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$. By [Bik13, Theorem 3.21] we have that for $t > 0$,

(5.1)

$$H^\rho_\alpha(t) = \overline{\text{span}}\{(f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n) \otimes (g_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_m) :\ m,n \in \mathbb{N}, (-1)^n = (-1)^m$$

(5.2)

$$f_1, \cdots, f_n, g_1, \cdots, g_m \in L^2(0,t) \otimes \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Since α is equimodular, its coupling superproduct system H_α has a canonical unit U_t (see Remark 4.15). It is straightforward to check that $U_t = \Gamma(S_t) \otimes \Gamma(S_t)$ where $\Gamma(S_t)$ denotes the second quantization of S_t. Hence in $H^\rho_\alpha(t)$ we obtain the unit $U_t\Omega\otimes\Omega = \Omega\otimes\Omega$.

We employ the concepts and techniques surrounding addits of superproduct systems as introduced in [MS13, Section 4]. In our context, an addit of H^ρ_α is a one-parameter measurable family $b_t \in H^\rho_\alpha(t)$ such that

$$b_s + U_s b_t = b_{s+t}$$

and it is called a centered addit if $\langle b_t, \Omega\otimes\Omega\rangle = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. In order to show that $\text{Ind}_c(\alpha) = 0$, it suffices to show that the only centered addit of H^ρ_α is the zero addit, which corresponds to the canonical unit by [MS13, Theorem 5.11]. We will follow an approach similar to [MS13, Lemma 7.1].

Let $b = \{b_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a centered addit for H^ρ_α. Since $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})\otimes\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{m,n\geq 0} \mathcal{H}^{\wedge^m} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\wedge^n}$ and we have a corresponding orthogonal decomposition

$$b = \sum_{m,n\geq 0} b^{m,n}$$

where $b^{m,n} \in \mathcal{H}^{\wedge^m} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\wedge^n}$ for all m,n. As $\mathcal{H}^{\wedge^m} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\wedge^n}$ is invariant under U_t, we have that $b^{m,n}$ is an addit for every m,n.

It is straightforward to check that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $b^{0,0}_t = \lambda t(\Omega\otimes\Omega)$ for all t. Now notice that whenever $m+n \geq 2$, by eq. (5.1) we can identify $b^{m,n}_t$ with a function with appropriate symmetries on the set $[0,t]^{m+n}$. And for any partition $\{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_\ell = t\}$ we can write

$$b^{m,n}_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} S_{t_j} b^{m,n}_{t_{j+1}-t_j}$$

which corresponds to a function with support in $\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1}[t_j, t_{j+1}] \times [t_j, t_{j+1}]$. Since the partition was arbitrary, we see that the support of $b^{m,n}_t$ has to be a null set, in other words $b^{m,n}_t = 0$ for all t and $m+n \geq 2$. Thus we have that

$$b = b^{0,0} + b^{1,0} + b^{0,1},$$

where $b^{1,0}_s \in \mathcal{F}(L^2(0,s)\otimes\mathcal{K})\otimes\Omega$ and $b^{0,1}_s \in \Omega\otimes\mathcal{F}(L^2(0,s)\otimes\mathcal{K})$. But by eq. (5.1) we have that $H^\rho_\alpha(s)$ does not contain vectors of the form $f\otimes\Omega$ or $\Omega\otimes f$, for $0 \neq f \in L^2(0,\infty)\otimes\mathcal{K})$. We conclude that $b_t = b^{0,0}_t = \lambda t(\Omega\otimes\Omega)$, and since b_t is centered, we have that $\lambda = 0$ and $b_t = 0$ for all t. Hence $\text{Ind}_c(\alpha) = 0$. □

Remark 5.11. We note that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is an operator such that $0 \leq T \leq 1$ with T and $1-T$ invertible, then the operator $R = 1\otimes T$ on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0,\infty)\otimes\mathcal{K}$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 5.10. Furthermore, by varying T, the resulting factor M_R may be chosen be the hyperfinite factors of type II_1, II_∞ or III_λ for $\lambda \in (0,1)$ by [PS70, Lemma 5.3]. In particular, we have examples of non-modularly extendable E_0-semigroups on those factors. For the case of II_∞ hyperfinite factors, this result is new.

Corollary 5.12. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Hilbert space of any dimension and let $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator satisfying the following properties: $0 \leq R \leq 1$, R and $1 - R$ are invertible, $\mathrm{Tr}(R|_{S_t\mathcal{H}} - R|^2_{S_t\mathcal{H}}) = \infty$ and moreover $S_t^* R S_t = R$ and $RS_t\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Let α be the corresponding CAR flow on M_R. Then for $k \neq \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\alpha^{\otimes k}$ and $\alpha^{\otimes \ell}$ are not cocycle conjugate when considered as E_0-semigroups on M_R.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $M_R \bar{\otimes} M_R \cong M_R$ since both are hyperfinite factors and have the same Connes invariants. Thus, for every $k \neq \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we may consider $\alpha^{\otimes k}$ and $\alpha^{\otimes \ell}$ as E_0-semigroups on the *same* algebra M_R. The result now follows because by Theorem 5.10 and Proposition 4.23 both E_0-semigroups have different relative commutant index families. □

Remark 5.13. It follows from Corollary 5.12 and Remark 5.11 that by varying $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we obtain on every hyperfinite factor of types II_1, II_∞ and III_λ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ a countably infinite family of E_0-semigroups which are not modularly extendable and pairwise non-cocycle conjugate.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Prof. R. Srinivasan for his suggestions and comments.

References

[ABS01] G. G. Amosov, A. V. Bulinskii, and M. E. Shirokov, *Regular semigroups of endomorphisms of von Neumann factors*, Mat. Zametki **70** (2001), no. 5, 643–659.

[AK92] W. Arveson and A. Kishimoto, *A note on extensions of semigroups of $*$-endomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), no. 3, 769–774.

[Ale95] A. Alevras, *Continuous semigroups of *-endomorphisms of factors of type I_∞ and of type II_1*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1995, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, Berkeley.

[Ale04] ———, *One parameter semigroups of endomorphisms of factors of type* II_1, J. Operator Theory **51** (2004), no. 1, 161–179.

[Arv03] W. Arveson, *Noncommutative dynamics and E-semigroups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

[Ave11] S. Avesec, *Strong solidity of the q-gaussian algebras for all $-1 < q < 1$*, arXiv arXiv:1110.4918 (2011).

[AW69] H. Araki and E. J. Woods, *A classification of factors*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Ser. A **4** (1968/1969), 51–130.

[Bik13] P. Bikram, *CAR flows on type III factors and their extendability*, to appear in Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 2013, preprint arXiv:1304.4341.

[BISS14] P. Bikram, M. Izumi, R. Srinivasan, and V.S. Sunder, *On extendability of endomorphisms and of E_0-semigroups on factors*, Kyushu J. Math. **68** (2014), 165–179.

[BKS97] M. Bozejko, B. Kummerer, and R. Speicher, *q-Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects*, Comm. Math. Phys. **185** (1997), no. 1, 129–154.

[BR81] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum-statistical mechanics. II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981, Equilibrium states. Models in quantum-statistical mechanics, Texts and Monographs in Physics.

[BS91] M. Bozejko and R. Speicher, *An example of a generalized Brownian motion*, Comm. Math. Phys. **137** (1991), no. 3, 519–531.

[BS00] B. V. R. Bhat and M. Skeide, *Tensor product systems of Hilbert modules and dilations of completely positive semigroups*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **3** (2000), no. 4, 519–575.

[Con80] A. Connes, *On the spatial theory of von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **35** (1980), no. 2, 153–164.

[Gui66] A. Guichardet, *Produits tensoriels infinis et représentations des relations d'anticommutation*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **83** (1966), 1–52.

[Haa79a] U. Haagerup, *Operator-valued weights in von Neumann algebras. I*, J. Funct. Anal. **32** (1979), no. 2, 175–206.

[Haa79b] ———, *Operator-valued weights in von Neumann algebras. II*, J. Funct. Anal. **33** (1979), no. 3, 339–361.

[Hia88] F. Hiai, *Minimizing indices of conditional expectations onto a subfactor*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988), no. 4, 673–678.

[Hol71] A. S. Holevo, *Quasi-free states on the C^*-algebra of commutation relations. II*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **6** (1971), no. 2, 145–150.

[Kos86] H. Kosaki, *Extension of Jones' theory on index to arbitrary factors*, J. Funct. Anal. **66** (1986), no. 1, 123–140.

[Lon89] R. Longo, *Index of subfactors and statistics of quantum fields. I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **126** (1989), no. 2, 217–247.

[MS13] O. T. Margetts and R. Srinivasan, *Invariants for E_0-semigroups on II_1 factors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **323** (2013), no. 3, 1155–1184.

[MS14] ———, *Non-cocycle-conjugate E_0-semigroups on factors*, arXiv:1404.5934, 2014.

[Nou04] A. Nou, *Non injectivity of the q-deformed von Neumann algebra*, Math. Ann. **330** (2004), no. 1, 17–38.

[Pow87] R. T. Powers, *A nonspatial continuous semigroup of $*$-endomorphisms of $B(H)$*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **23** (1987), no. 6, 1053–1069.

[Pow88] ———, *An index theory for semigroups of *-endomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and type II_1 factors*, Canad. J. Math. **40** (1988), no. 1, 86–114.

[Pow99] ———, *New examples of continuous spatial semigroups of $*$-endomorphisms of $B(H)$*, Internat. J. Math. **10** (1999), no. 2, 215–288.

[PS70] R. T. Powers and E. Størmer, *Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **16** (1970), 1–33.

[Ric05] E. Ricard, *Factoriality of q-Gaussian von Neumann algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **257** (2005), no. 3, 659–665.

[Ske03] M. Skeide, *Commutants of von Neumann modules, representations of $B^a(e)$ and other topics related to product systems of Hilbert modules*, Advances in quantum dynamics (South Hadley, MA, 2002) (Providence, RI), Contemp. Math., vol. 335, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003, pp. 253–262.

[Śni04] P. Śniady, *Factoriality of Bożejko-Speicher von Neumann algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **246** (2004), no. 3, 561–567.

[Str81] S. Stratila, *Modular theory in operator algebras*, Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, Bucharest, 1981, Translated from the Romanian by the author.

[SZ79] S. Stratila and L. Zsido, *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*, Editura Academiei, Bucharest, 1979, Revision of the 1975 original, Translated from the Romanian by Silviu Teleman.

[Tak72] M. Takesaki, *Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **9** (1972), 306–321.

[Tak03] ———, *Theory of operator algebras. II*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 125, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 6.

[Tom59] J. Tomiyama, *On the projection of norm one in W^*-algebras. III*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **11** (1959), 125–129.

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O.B. 653, Be'er Sheva 8410501, Israel.

E-mail address: bikram@math.bgu.ac.il, danielm@math.bgu.ac.il